New Policy Opportunities for Healthy Communities
By Thomas Forster

In recent months there have been numerous reports, articles and studies in the national press on the rising health crisis in the diet of American children. Record numbers of young people and Americans of all ages are obese or overweight, and diet related diseases such as diabetes, heart disease and stroke rival smoking as the nation’s leading cause of preventable death. According to available data, communities of color and lower income levels have been hit hardest.

As the 108th Congress convenes in Winter, 2003, there will be an unparalleled opportunity to shape legislation that addresses these issues. Up for reauthorization are the primary federal nutrition programs targeted at children: National School Lunch, School Breakfast and WIC. Various legislators have made it clear that increasing children’s access to fresh fruits and vegetables is going to be a high priority.

Partnering with key allies such as the Food Research and Action Center and the American School Food Service Association, the Community Food Security Coalition is playing a lead role in proposing new initiatives for developing nations (see page 12). Even the concept and principles of community food security speak to grassroots practitioners in the Global South.

Yet we find that we continue to have much to learn from our brethren working on food security and local food systems projects in other parts of the globe. The box schemes of the UK, the Slow Food movement of Italy, and the Cuban urban agriculture program are but a few examples of the more commonly known initiatives in this arena.

We have come full circle. In the 1990s, the Community Food Security Coalition adapted the terminology of food security commonly used in Third World communities (with connections through Berkeley researchers and the Urban Institute among others) to fit the US industrialized nation model. Some ten years later we are finding that our experiences in the US and Canada have relevance for food security efforts in developing nations. For example, the Community Food Projects Program has become a model for the new SARD grants initiative for developing nations (see page 12). Even the concept and principles of community food security speak to grassroots practitioners in the Global South.

Yet we find that we continue to have much to learn from our brethren working on food security and local food systems projects in other parts of the globe. The box schemes of the UK, the Slow Food movement of Italy, and the Cuban urban agriculture program are but a few examples of the more commonly known initiatives in this arena.

Our Apologies

Spring is just around the corner, and you’re just receiving the fall newsletter. What’s up with that?

While it is true that here in Southern California we don’t have very pronounced seasons, the reason for this newsletter being late has more to do with our being swamped and less to do with our climactic cluelessness. The work of the CFSC has exploded dramatically over the past year. We are now up to nine staff. This October we hosted our annual conference with over 500 persons in attendance, many of whom went to the first ever national farm to cafeteria gathering. At the policy level, we’re gearing up for the reauthorization of the Child Nutrition Act and the Transportation Equity Act-21. And we’re going through a strategic planning process.

All of this is to say we’re sorry for the delay in publishing this newsletter. The Spring issue on farm to cafeteria will be out within a month or two as we try to catch up with the seasons.
E very year at the annual conference of the Coalition, we hold a Town Hall meeting for member organizations to discuss their ideas, concerns, questions, and challenges. The Coalition is a wide range of issues in community food security and within our organization. This year, our conversation focused on the strategic direction of our organization. Assuming those present at the meeting are representative of our members of the Coalition, you are excited about your work and the work of the Coalition, but you want more involvement in our organization.

"Communication from the grassroots to the coalition—keep a focus on grassroots organizing." ‘Need more opportunities (for members) beyond committees that work both on program and policy matters.’ Comments like these illustrate your strong desire to be the steering wheel of the Coalition, as well as your willingness to commit to the work of the Coalition. We continue to grapple with involving youth in our organization, as well as making sure we welcome and encourage diversity within the Coalition. One of the most important issues to be considered is how you as members help to shape the strategic direction of the Coalition.

These are important issues! Members like you must provide the answers. How do you want to be involved in the work of the Coalition? How can your involvement strengthen and further your own work at the community and regional level? How do you want to make decisions for the Coalition? How best can we have a discussion and dialogue about these questions?

Members currently elect a board of directors to direct the work of the Coalition in conjunction with our excellent staff. As a member, you can work on community food security issues through the Policy, Outreach/Diversity, Faith-Based Organizations, International Linkages, Farm to School, Transportation and Food Access, and Urban Agriculture committees. Very active, vibrant discussions of community food security concepts and tools happen regularly on COMFOOD, our community food security listserv. But still we need to find out what other ways you want to be involved in the Coalition as an organization.

Let us know! Post comments on COMFOOD, tell us your ideas through a board of directors, or talk to other members in your region. Please share your thoughts and suggestions so that we can make the Coalition the best organization it can be.

You can subscribe to Comfood through our website at www.foodsecurity.org

—Mary Hendrickson
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Helen Johnson—Profiles in Leadership

By Mark Winne

Helen likes to tick off her campaigns, especially when it comes to holding City Hall accountable. Her first action was in 1988 when she persuaded City Council to install locked gates at the head of alleyways that had become dumping grounds for all manner of debris. After the riots, Mayor Riordan offered her to be a new chairperson, which led to efforts to rid the neighborhood of liquor stores that had sprung up like weeds in the sidewalk cracks. And then there was the community garden.

From an abandoned right-of-way that formerly conveyed LA’s trolleys, Helen and her neighbors carved out two plots on either side of Vermont Ave. With funds from an area foundation, technical assistance from the Trust for Public Land, and volunteer lawyer/congregants from the Temple of Israel, Helen’s newly formed nonprofit bought the land for $50,000 from the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA). She proudly proclaims that theirs is the ‘first garden to be owned by a LA community.’

A stroll through the garden takes you down paths lined with fruit trees, flowers, and vegetables growing in raised beds. There are compost piles in various stages of decay mixed with horse manure from nearby Hollywood Park Racetrack. A gazebo built with funds that Helen talked out of the MTA and with lumber donated by Home Depot, dominates the garden’s front entrance. A white table that has no doubt been a party to thousands of delightful chatter commands the garden’s center stage.

Over 30 gardeners, all of them over 55 years old, tend their gardens with loving care. The grandparents bring their grandchildren who learn the joys of gardening and pleasures of eating vegetables. Teenagers from the ‘Clean and Green ’ youth corps come by regularly to help the seniors and tend to needed improvements and repairs. The site is beautiful, almost idyllic, and stands as a testament to the universal desire to wrest something peaceful and lovely from an environment that has been hostile and blighted.

The experience of developing the garden, purchasing it, and tending it, has, in Helen’s words, ‘brought the community closer together.’

According to Helen, the food from the garden is ‘a supplement and necessity in between those once-a-month checks’ that the seniors receive. She has seen the face of hunger in the schoolchildren she looked after as a child care worker in the local schools. Next to Helen’s vision of a better community, it’s that image that keeps Helen going ‘24/7,’ for in addition to the garden and a steady string of volunteer activities, she runs an emergency food pantry out of her church as well as her own home. As she says, ‘I know some of the things that we need food, and others I don’t know, but all I need to know is that they are hungry.’

Helen Johnson stands out as a model community leader. She puts others before self while enabling others to help themselves. She keeps the big picture of a better community in mind while being mindful of the thousands who need her help. And she’s always challenging the system. “If you see something that’s wrong and keep quiet,” says Helen, “then you’re just as guilty as the person who’s doing the wrong.”
Farm Fresh Choice: Awakening Inner City Taste Buds to Healthy Local Food

Maria meet Martha. These two matriarchs—one of a budding farm enterprise, the other of a twenty-seven-year-old after school program for low-income, inner city children—have something new to offer each other. Maria Inés Catalán grows fresh fruits and vegetables at her farming cooperative in Holister, Martha Guea encourages the families in her after school program to eat such locally grown produce. Their dreams flow together each Tuesday afternoon through a program called Farm Fresh Choice.

When parents come to pick up their kids at Martha’s RAHA, Inc youth program on Tuesdays, they find a stand piled high with seasonal produce. If they want to take home some of the peas, carrots, eggs, or even nopalces (cactus), they join Farm Fresh Choice. The project, started in 1999 with $37,000 from the Food Security Grant program of the California Nutrition Network, a statewide public health program, has grown to include one hundred members at three distribution sites in west and south Berkeley. While most of the families at BAHIA, Inc, Latino, most of the families at the other two sites, Berkeley Youth Alternatives and the Youth Advancement Project, are African-American. The program links these urban families of color with farmers of color and one farming cooperative, Catalán’s Association Mercado Orgánica (see below).

“Farm Fresh Choice membership gives you access to discounted organic produce, to relationships with farmers and to a feeling for the land on which the food is grown,” says Joyle Moore, one of the co-founders of the project. Members get acquainted with their farmers through a seasonal newsletter and an annual festival.

Farm Fresh Choice staf, who deliver the food to the sites, are on hand each week punching cards, answering questions, and talking up the benefits of fresh, unprocessed food grown in fields not far from home. Here’s how membership works. The Farm Fresh Choice membership card, issued free of charge, is renewed each year. The card—with its bright logo of a person holding a bowl of fruit and straddling a scene of farms and urban entities—holders to purchase seven-dollar punch cards. The twenty-eight punch cards on each card are worth twenty-five cents apiece. For example, a bunch of carrots, worth a dollar, takes four punches; a pint of strawberries, six punches—all priced at wholesale cost. You are stacked against him,” says Moore, who is African-American, lives in south Berkeley, and until recently resided on a typical urban diet of fast, highly processed foods. “I realized I didn’t eat fresh fruits and vegetables. For years I went to the store and bought a piece of fruit, and it just didn’t taste good. No flavor. I turned off from it. Then one day I was given this nectarine from a farmer of Good Humus Produce in Yolo County, and I said, ‘Oh, my God!’ The flavor bursting in my mouth. I’ve never been the same since. I was reminded of what good food could taste like. It was a real pleasure.” For Maria Inés Catalán, participation in Farm Fresh Choice brought an opportunity to make a difference. Most farmers wait for years to secure a spot at the popular Berkeley Farmers’ Market, operated by the Berkeley Ecology Center. But Penny Leff, the market manager, welcomed Catalán by saying the Ecology Center is committed to such food security projects. Between Farm Fresh Choice and the farmers’ market, the Tuesday trip to Berkeley now makes good economic sense for Catalán. “We earn more by coming to Berkeley than if we were to sell to a wholesale company,” says Catalán. “The pay is just. We also like getting to know the people who eat the food. When Farm Fresh Choice organizers see their inner city neighbors picking up healthy fruits and vegetables from such local farmers as Catalán, they feel they are making headway in a struggle against crippling health disparities. ‘We have a long way to go,’ says Moore, ‘but if we incorporate bits and pieces of this more simple way of life into our daily lives, we are on the path to a healthier community, physically and spiritually.’

—Jered Lawson
from Weaving the Food Web available on-line at www.foodsecurity.org

California Organizes a CFS Network

Last June, more than 120 organizations gathered for a statewide summit on community food security in California. The key outcome of the two-day gathering was the agreement by participants to form a CA CFS Network (Network) that enhances communication, coordination, and organizing at the local and state level in relationship to the national and international CFS movements.

Over the past six months, California-based CFSF Members and other summit participants nominated and elected a steering committee representing thirteen diverse organizations. Committee members have since been busy with the day-to-day of in-person meetings and numerous emails and phone calls to follow-up on summit discussions—putting structure to the Network and drafting a plan of action and platform of state policy objectives for coming years. Material describing the Network are being developed for outreach to organizations, community members, and policymakers about the budding state coalition.

One, the publication Weaving the Food Web: Community Food Security in California, has already proven a useful tool for introducing CFS to a broader yet targeted audience—

as organizer to devote more energy to efforts in his community, northern California’s Capay Valley. Experienced LA-based organizer Garrick Ruiz is serving in an interim capacity while a search for a permanent, full-time California program is under way.

CFSF sponsors the Network through its Regional Organizing Initiative that seeks to increase support and advocacy for CFS throughout the nation. California is the first pilot of the new initiative, receiving major support from The California Wellness Foundation and California Nutrition Network. Funders also include Clarence E. Heller Charitable Foundation and W.K. Kellogg Foundation.

For more information on the CA CFS Network, visit www.foodsecurity.org/california.html or call the Venice office, 310-822-5410.
COMMUNITY FOOD SECURITY: WHAT IS IT ABOUT? WHAT BRINGS US TOGETHER?

Community food security is a powerful idea. It has the potential to resonate among multiple groups, and provide linkages that extend beyond any single issue. But, partly due to the obscurity of the term, community food security remains vague to many activists and policymakers, even to those in the food movement itself.

If the term is vague, then just what is community food security about?

Is community food security about making small farms and local and regional food production more viable?

Is it about encouraging a more environmentally-based, sustainable way to grow food?

How food is grown is critical to a community food security approach. Small, local, sustainable farms are a building block of any democratic and just food system. This sustainable agriculture perspective has an environmental focus, a rural economic development focus, and a labor focus. Small family farmers, farm workers, rural community farms at the urban edge, are all potential constituents of sustainable agriculture organizations.

The community food security approach in turn is embedded in a sustainable agriculture discourse that seeks to reach out, at least in principle, to each of those constituents. Community food security is about making small farms and local agriculture more viable.

Community food security connects what people eat to what kind of food is produced and how it is accessed. A food system that produces a high-fat, high-salt, high-sugar, supersized diet is a food system that needs to be challenged and transformed. But even if we produce fresh, healthy, nutritious foods, community food security tells us that such food has to be accessible, not expensive, and consistent with the kinds of cultural choices and physical environments that are so influential in what people eat, where they eat, and how they eat.

Community food security has helped expand the health and nutrition movement’s view about food choice and food access, and health and nutrition are central to the community food security mission. But it’s also about something more.

Is community food security about combating hunger? Is it about not enough to eat as well as what we eat?

The persistence of people dropping in and out of hunger, even as we experience an epidemic of obesity, is a central concern for farmers, workers, urban residents alike. The environmental mantra—act local, think global—is a community food security tenet. But it’s also about something more.

Is community food security about the environment? Is it about the link between transportation and land use?

When a food item travels an average of 1400 miles from where it’s produced to where it’s consumed, then significant environmental, energy and transportation issues come to the fore. When food is produced for a long distance system, many of the inputs in food production as well as processing and shipping impacts generate enormous environmental stresses, causing pollution of the land, the air, and rivers and streams, and enormous health burdens on farm workers and other producers. And when farms are turned into sprawling developments at the urban edge, the opportunities to create a viable regional food system and a sustainable urban environment are that much more reduced.

Community food security has helped extend the environmental approach toward food issues by providing a systems approach and by identifying alternative ‘pro-environment’ approaches for farmers, workers, urban residents alike. The environmentalists mantra—act local, think global—is a community food security tenet. But it’s also about something more.

Is community food security a community economic development approach? Do labor issues factor into the community food security worldview?

The food retail sector, the food processing sector, and the food system as a whole.

Community food security has helped define hunger as a social justice issue. Anti-hunger activists in turn have informed the ranks of community food security organizations. The community food security/anti-hunger link is a strong one. But it’s also about something more.

COMMUNITY FOOD SECURITY: (continued from page 6)

Is community food security about the kind of food produced and how it is accessed? A food system that produces a high-fat, high-salt, high-sugar, supersized diet is a food system that needs to be challenged and transformed. But even if we produce fresh, healthy, nutritious, energy and transportation issues come to the fore. When food is produced for a long distance system, many of the inputs in food production as well as processing and shipping impacts generate enormous environmental stresses, causing pollution of the land, the air, and rivers and streams, and enormous health burdens on farm workers and other producers. And when farms are turned into sprawling developments at the urban edge, the opportunities to create a viable regional food system and a sustainable urban environment are that much more reduced.

Community food security has helped extend the environmental approach toward food issues by providing a systems approach and by identifying alternative ‘pro-environment’ approaches for farmers, workers, urban residents alike. The environmentalists mantra—act local, think global—is a community food security tenet. But it’s also about something more.

Is community food security a cultural movement? Are we about what we eat and how we eat?

Today, the notion that we are what we eat can be translated into eat it fast, eat it fatty, eat it away from home, forget about cooking and preparation. We live in a fast food culture that, thanks in part to community food security consciousness, is finally being challenged. We recognize that Pepsi is not the one, it’s the enemy. Slow food is not just a symbol; it’s a call to arms.

Community food security helps us learn to speak a new language about food, and to translate that into the actual experience of eating and appreciating food as well as gaining insight into where the food comes from.

Can community food security then be considered a sustainable agriculture movement, a health and nutrition movement, an anti-hunger movement, an environmental movement, a labor and community economic development movement, and a cultural movement? It is in fact all those things—and more.

There is a conundrum here: community food security as a concept is greater than the sum of its parts, but in practice, as represented by its various organizations and campaigns, the parts often seem greater than the whole.

To make the whole larger and at the same time equal to the parts, we need action that ties movements and ideas together, not just the promotion of a big picture concept. But we still need a conceptual basis to make those linkages. We need the groundwork projects and programs that community food security advocates have initiated. But we need more than pilots; we need policies and institutional change.

Community food security has to be more than just a poorly defined term that confuses even those who identify with it. We need a new language, new coalitions, new forms of action. Ultimately, we need a new social movement.

Robert Gottlieb is the Director of the Urban and Environmental Policy Institute at Occidental College, which includes the Center for Food and Justice. He is a co-founder and former board member of the Community Food Security Coalition.

New Board Members

Ben Burkett

Denise O’Brien

Wayne Roberts

Ben Burkett

Denise O’Brien

Wayne Roberts

is executive director of the Mississippi Association of Community Food Cooperatives. He farms corn, butter beans, turnips, spinach, crowder peas on 300 acres in Petal, Mississippi on land his family has farmed since 1896.

PhD candidate at the University of Pennsylvania, Denise O’Brien currently is the Coordinator for Women, Food and Agriculture Network and a part-time farmer. She serves on the Iowa Food Policy Council, on the Advisory Committee to the Henry and Alva Weinstein Endowed Chair for Sustainable Agriculture at Iowa State University and is a Food and Society Fellow for 2002-2003.

Wayne Roberts, the Canadian on the Board, is coordinator of the Toronto Food Policy Council and co-leads City staff working on anti-hunger initiatives. He’s the co-author of two books: Get A Life and Real Food for a Change: He’s a longtime chair of the Coalition for a Green Economy.
The “Gusto” of Food Security

by Jennifer Willicks, Cornell University Cooperative Extension

On the approach to the bank of a dozen ticket booths where thousands of expectant people (mostly Italians) are queued, the first impression is of the enormity of the Salone del Gusto, Art Deco building, site of the first Fiat restoration of food education, is meant an in-depth Slow Food philosophy. By food world

Salone del Gusto 2002 were taste specialists, and animal breeds that are products, artisan gastronomic events in the world of food and wine starting in 1996, this bi-annual event has become one of the most important in the world of food and wine and easily could be the gustatory experience of a lifetime. And perhaps it is no accident that this event, which has everything to do with reinvigorating the production of traditional foods and inspiring local, sustainable micro-economies by producing quality food, should be held in a building that used to produce a car that probably never should have been allowed to travel great distances!

The two central themes of the Salone del Gusto 2002 were taste education and the protection of the world’s bio-diversity—the pillars of the Slow Food philosophy. By food world

The exhibition space was divided into three major pavilions. Pavilion 1 housed the ‘Mercato del Mondo’ (Market of the World) and the International Presidia, and was a clear demonstration of how international the Salone and Slow Food have become. Eighty exhibitors came to sell their products in this Market, a part of the Salone for the first time, featured food and drink from 38 countries around the world divided by nationality. Eighty exhibitors came to sell their products in this Market, a part of the Salone for the first time, featured food and drink from 38 countries around the world divided by nationality. Eighty exhibitors came to sell their products in this Market, a part of the Salone for the first time, featured food and drink from 38 countries around the world divided by nationality. Eighty exhibitors came to sell their products in this Market, a part of the Salone for the first time, featured food and drink from 38 countries around the world divided by nationality. Eighty exhibitors came to sell their products in this Market, a part of the Salone for the first time, featured food and drink from 38 countries around the world
divided by nationality. Eighty exhibitors came to sell their products in this Market, a part of the Salone for the first time, featured food and drink from 38 countries around the world divided by nationality. Eighty exhibitors came to sell their products in this Market, a part of the Salone for the first time, featured food and drink from 38 countries around the world
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Food Security Advocates in Africa and Latin America
Link Local Food Efforts and Policy

Africans Converge on a World Summit

It had never really been done before, certainly not in Africa, which was hosting a world summit for the very first time in 2002. Could it work? Could it be done? Could hundreds of farmers, many of whom had never traveled beyond their own villages, reach the goal of actually becoming part of the World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg—a summation of what had been urged to focus on the really critical concerns—i.e. urban issues? Would anyone hear that farmers and food were important? Would anyone learn about the needs of producers, the important role of agriculture, the prominent place of rural development in a sustainable future? They could and they did. The Farmers’ Convergence in Johannesburg was a highlight of exemplary grassroots capacity building for policy advocacy. African as well as farmers from other regions were well represented, and most importantly seen and heard in press interviews, in music, dance and marches, and in their own well organized encampment where they met regularly to participate and informed decision making by everyone clearly evident. Plans and priorities for their collective future work were written on sheets of newsprint taped to the walls. Songs they had written to articulate just what they thought about globalisation were sung. Their goals for local agriculture projects, farmer control of seeds and ecological production, and land use were among the lucky few among thousands who managed to eat locally organically produced foods catered by a small businesswoman in their own kitchen set up in the old mining camp they called home for over a week.

Collaboration Counts

The farmers couldn’t have done it without the non-governmental organizations they chose to help them. Taking the lead was PELUM, acronym for Participatory Ecological Land-Use Management Association. A network of local community groups in nine countries in southern Africa, PELUM is located in a regional secretariat in Zimbabwe, PELUM established food security as a priority activity in 2001. They had begun collaborating months before to ensure their success in being both visible and heard, building on an organizational decision to add policy advocacy to their projects with the goal of ‘putting people first in sustainable development’. Building and facilitating a culture of cooperation, sharing experiences and communication among the members has been a guiding principle. NECOFA, a newly organized ecological agriculture group in South Africa, provided local logistical management and support.

The Situation After Johannesburg

When I arrived at Johannesburg Airport for the World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) from August 26 to September 4, I saw a striking poster of an African child holding a fish in each hand, with the caption, “Some, For All.” The WSSD was very important, I had come! It was a great image for sustainable development—the economy (“some”), embedded in the community (“for all”), rooted in the environmental commons of the oceans and fisheries (“forever”), with WSSD representing a hope and a promise.

Unfortunately we are not doing well with any of these at present. More than two billion people are superhuman to the world economy, a fact vividly present in the shantytowns of Johannesburg. Many fisheries are exhausted, and the lives of millions of fisher folk are threatened. WSSD took action to restore or pledge to restore marine fisheries, one of the successes of the Summit, along with funding for a fishery. But it did little to restore the livelihoods of fishing communities, whose resources are being taken over by trade deals and replaced with corporate-controlled fish factories.

The Problem of the Summit

The Earth Summit in Rio in 1992 was called to launch an era of sustainable development—‘Agenda 21’, and it greatly increased awareness of the environmental crisis, as well as stimulating millions of local Agenda 21 initiatives. But the promised new relationship between environment and development was overshadowed by the drive toward trade liberalization and globalization. This shadow hung over WSSD. Civil society and sympathetic governments fought a rearguard action to save the principles of Rio, as some governments attempted to subordinate WSSD to the World Trade Organization (WTO) agreements. So WSSD ended in a compromise, and the struggle for truly sustainable development will have to continue both inside the United Nations, which is still one of the only counterweights to the WTO, although losing some of its power, and through the social movements of fisher folk, farmers, indigenous peoples, and others as they struggle for food sovereignty, control over their land and water and seeds, and seek resources to continue their traditional farming and fishing and forestry. The Seattle struggle against corporate-led globalization continues.

The Backlash on Food Security

The WSSD plenary discussion on agriculture contrasted two kinds of agriculture, smallholder farming and agro-ecology on the one hand, and large-scale industrial input-intensive farming on the other. There was amazing to hear so much praise for agro-ecological food production, even from former Green Revolution advocates such as M.S. Swaminathan, with scarcely a positive word on industrial agriculture. But behind the scenes, there was a huge push for biotech and genetically-modified foods, led by the US and using the food emergency in Southern Africa as a launching pad for GM food aid. Civil society has the stronger arguments and we are facing what Frances Moore Lappé calls ‘the biggest propaganda machine in human history.”

Vandana Shiva described the corporate power-grab at WSSD, supported by the Northern and Southern governments and the World Bank, to control the world’s land and water through privatization schemes, and take over seeds and genetic diversity GM and biotech. Corporations have conducted a well-funded campaign since Rio to split environmentalists and anti-poverty networks in the view of big businesses of the public and important constituencies e.g. African farming groups and governments. The campaign is to claim that “ecologists are colonials”, who do not want Africa to “develop”, meaning “get biotech and GM crops and a new green revolution to feed Africa.”

FISHERIES

Fisheryfolk represent another social movement where millions of livelihoods and businesses are threatened by the spread of commercial and multinational aquaculture corporations. Fishing communities from Vancouver to Newfoundland, and from Alaska to Boston, have witnessed the destruction of fisheries through industrialized overfishing and the rise of commercial fish farms. Consumers can fight back through what they buy and what they eat. The Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy (IATP) provides excellent information on ways to get involved—see www.iatp.org/fish. The World Forum of Fisher Peoples (WFPF) provides CFSC activists with links to fishing communities worldwide: see www.wfpsf.org.

SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

The CFSC represents a diversity of North American social movements around sustainable agriculture—rural coalitions, organic farming, urban agriculture, and others. All these have their international counterparts or linkages. IFOAM (The International Federation of Organic Agricultural Movements) at www.iofo.org provides links to the expanding organic farming movement in more than 100 countries. Agro-ecology is another form of sustainable agriculture, relying on indigenous farming knowledge and selected low-input modern technologies. Agro-ecology seeks to reach the world’s rural poor and peasant farmers whose traditional farming systems are small-scale, complex and diverse. Information on agroecology and food sovereignty worldwide is available through the websites of FoodFirst www.foodfirst.org, the Third World Network: www.twn.org, and the Via Campesina: www.viacampesina.org.

Practical information for sustainable agriculture practices in the U.S. can be found at the SARE (Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education) website: www.sare.org and at the ATTRA (Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas) website: www.attra.ncat.org.

The CFSC’s North American Urban Agriculture Initiative-see www.foodsecurity.org/urban.html for the CFSC’s Guide to Urban Agriculture—learning from urban farming initiatives worldwide. For more information on these global initiatives, see www.cityfarmer.org.
NEW POLICY OPP. (cont. from pg. 1)

What is Food Sovereignty?

Food Sovereignty is the RIGHT of peoples, communities, and countries to define their own agricultural, labor, fishing, food and land policies which are ecologically, socially, economically and culturally appropriate to their unique circumstances. It includes the right of local communities to grow their own food and to produce food, which means that all people have the right to safe, nutritious and culturally appropriate food and to produce, consuming and purchasing food. Food Sovereignty includes the ability to sustain themselves and their relatives. Food Sovereignty requires:

- Placing priority on food production for domestic and local markets, based on peasant and family farmer diversified and agroecologically based production systems
- Ensuring fair prices for farmers, which means the power to protect internal markets from low-priced, dumped imports
- Access to land, water, forests, fishing areas and other productive resources through genuine redemptions rights by market forces and World Bank-sponsored “market-assisted land reforms.”
- Recognition and promotion of women’s role in food production and equitable access and control over productive resources
- Community control over productive resources, as opposed to corporate ownership of land, water, and genetic resource diversity
- Protecting seeds, the basis of food and life itself, for the free exchange and use of farmers, which means no patents on life and a moratorium on the genetically modified crops which lead to the genetic pollution of essential genetic diversity of plants and animals
- Public investment in support for the productive activities of families, and communities, geared toward empowerment, local control and production of food for people and local markets

The SARD Initiative

The SARD Initiative was a step forward in supporting the development of local and regional food systems. This new initiative is in many ways similar to the work being carried out to increase community food security advocates. Planned elements of the Initiative include a global clearinghouse of information on best practices, a small grants fund of approximately $10 million initially for local food systems creation in developing countries similar to the Community Food Projects model, and the dissemination of success stories and best practices grounded in a peer exchange format. It will be administered through the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations.

NEW POLICY OPP. (cont. from pg. 12)

‘GUSTO’ (continued from page 6) against excessively restrictive and globalized food safety legislation, as well as dictates of mass marketing and distribution, and environmental degradation.

So, what does this have to do with Community Food Security? If we can agree that the driving forces of standardization, specialization, and centralization represent threats to sustainable community-based food systems, then the Salone del Gusto provides a forum of resistance and a sensual confirmation of the persistence of diversity and localness throughout the world. As Enzo Ghigo, president of the Regional Authority of Piedmont, describes the Salone, ‘it has become one of the places where individuals could imagine a future that does not necessarily need to be homogenized, flavorless, and insipid. Piedmont claims the right to its flavors, its tastes, and its crops-nice products produced almost exclusively in this region. Why must we lose our memory, if our memory is one of the fundamental aspects of the land we live in?’

For more information about the specific legislative proposals, background information and key states and districts, check the policy program pages of the CFSC website (www.foodsecurity.org) or e-mail Thomas Forster, CFSC policy director (thomas@foodsecurity.org).

Water

Community food security activists in North America are faced with the same struggles that trouble access to water. One billion people lack safe water to drink, and two billion lack basic sanitation. Without water, crops and livestock fail and communities suffer hunger and disease. As world population increases in a water-scarce world, we will have to grow more food with less water, using new and more efficient technologies such as drip irrigation. Traditional rural economies, such as The Farmer Managed Irrigation Systems in Nepal, are leading the way in the South, while the explosion of organic farming in North America and Europe is promoting new models of water use. The struggle for water as a common good and a human right, both in the North and South, challenges the growing privatization and corporate control of water resources.

Resources for Information and Activism:

The Blue Planet Project at www.canadians.org/blueplanet

The Blue Planet Project provides a mix of vivid, compelling writings on the water crisis, including Blue Gold: The Fight to Stop Corporate Theft of the World’s Water with Tony Clarke (New Press, 2002.).


GUSTO, a five-day event held in Turin, Italy, bringing together hundreds of activists from all walks of life: farmers, food service professionals, chefs and artisans, farmers, the military, and the general public. Led by Salone del Gusto’s founder, Franco Bernabò Brea, the Salone del Gusto promotes the primacy of local food and drink on the other. One of the featured aspects of the land we live in?— from the land, farmers, and the people’s health. It also promotes the recognition and support of women’s role in food production and equitable access and control over productive resources.
It is clear that increased connections between the food security movement in the US with organizations around the globe can be beneficial for all parties. In this vein, the CFSC has established a new International Links committee to explore these connections (for more information on the committee or to join, contact Linda Elswick at ls@worldhungeryear.org, or Peter Mann at peter@ipsa.org).

Members of this committee have been active in shaping international forums on food and agriculture systems. They have found that a US non-profit presence at these events is essential for a number of reasons. Decisions made at international levels increasingly impact local communities, directly affecting the future of food and agriculture systems around the planet. For example, it is not inconceivable that the World Trade Organization (WTO) could ban local and organic laws that grant incentives to the purchasing of locally-grown foods.

Moreover, the US is a principal player in food, agriculture and land management decisions at the international level in ways that are often contrary to the hopes, aspirations and programs to reform the food and agriculture systems of our own society.

For the Community Food Security Coalition, international meetings such as these present opportunities to showcase the domestic movements that work for very different outcomes than what the US government provides and often strong arms other countries, especially developing countries, to accept. The US and major food and agriculture corporations push a model of industrial agriculture and a new ‘green’ biotechnology that has not worked for many US farms and rural communities. The results of this model in the US and elsewhere are environmental degradation, deteriorating rural livelihoods and epidemic nutritional disease resulting from fast food culture. Many of the world’s peoples are rejecting this model for food and agriculture systems, and they are greatly helped by knowing there is a growing US movement that agrees.

More specifically, these movements export commodity production for the world market rather than support for vibrant local food systems, undermining those food systems by dumping low priced and subsidized US-grown commodities. The foods favored in the world trading system are usually different than what local farmers need and adapted locally, changing traditional dietary patterns with significant public health impacts. Emergency food aid (also a way to dump excess US production) now contains GMO products which became a hot issue in famine stricken Africa during the Johannesburg Summit. For the first time, developing countries have refused GMOs in humanitarian food relief, much to the consternation of US aid agencies and the food industry. In the recent US Farm Bill, a global school lunch program was funded, yet another way to dump excess products at US taxpayers expense. This policy is diametrically opposed to the US Farm-to-School movement, which promotes better nutrition through direct connections between local schools and farmers. In the outreach clarity develops among the social movements on these topics, we find a rapid rise of a universal rights-based approach to food and agriculture development, a movement that is otherwise known as “food sovereignty” (See side bar on Food Sovereignty). This approach is very consistent with the definition and practice of community food security in the US and Canada. Together with this new idea are new policy platforms calling for food and agriculture development at the greater involvement of marginalized populations (small farmers, Indigenous Peoples, fisherfolk, forest dwellers, and all women in agriculture) in the decision-making processes at national and international levels.

The SARD Initiative launched at the Johannesburg Summit is one manifestation of call to place civil society at the center of food and agriculture development (see side bar on SARD Initiative). The long road to launching a civil society-led SARD Initiative is summarized in similar to the US program, the USDA Community Food Projects Program.

And so the circle will come around again to the rights for and the primacy of community based priorities related to food and agriculture. Global institutions like the WTO usually don’t provide support for best practices that provide basic human needs in a changing world, have come to the conclusion that local communities DO matter.

Communities across the globe are putting an enormous amount of energy into efforts to protect and build true security in diet, health and the physical landscape. These efforts also confront the challenge of developing a unified response to the negative effects of globalization, a response that current economic and political geniuses can protect and bring to fruition.

For a taste of this excitement see Reggie Knox’s article on the first South African food festival between small farmers and urban consumers. It is at the forefront of building a national movement for a new idea of food and agriculture organizing efforts around the world, the power of family scale farmers as an integral element of the community food security approach will be increased in the face of the many economic, environmental and social challenges to its sustainability. This is the hope we and our children need to build a diverse and resilient future.

Linda Elswick (with Thomas Forster) is co-director of International Partners for Sustainable Agriculture (IPSA) and Director of Sustainable Agriculture at the Humane Society of the US. Peter Mann, World Hunger Year, is a board member of CFSC and a member of IPSA. Email ls@worldhungeryear.org, p@ipsa.org.

Thomas Forster is the Policy Director of the Community Food Security Coalition. Email thomas@foodsecurity.org.

WHAT IS THE COMMUNITY FOOD SECURITY COALITION?
The CFSC is a national network of organizations forging new ground in developing innovative approaches to food and farm needs for communities across America. Started in 1994, it is at the forefront of building a national movement around community food security.

WHY SHOULD I BECOME A MEMBER?
Becoming a member is a way to strengthen your connection to the Coalition and other related organizations and individuals across the country. Your membership helps build a dynamic national movement, and provides important support for innovative CFSC initiatives. Membership also comes with certain benefits: a subscription to the quarterly CFSC News newsletter, voting privileges (for organizations), and discounts on Coalition publications.

MEMBERSHIP CATEGORIES:
Please join at the organization member level. By doing so, it demonstrates your organization’s commitment and lends us greater political strength.

- $35 Individuals
- $50 Small organizations, with less than $100,000 budget
- $100 Large organizations, with more than $100,000 budget
- $500 Individual life membership

$___ Low income individuals, students, or seniors (sliding scale—$1-$25)

PUBLICATIONS AND OTHER MERCHANDISE:

- $12 Healthy Farms, Healthy Kids: Evaluating the Barriers and Opportunities, for Farm to School Programs 2001
- $15 Full Color, original artwork, T-shirts (100% organic cotton shirt) – Circle one: S, M, L, XL
- $10 Getting Food on the Table: An Action Guide to Local Food Policy. 1999.

Name: __________________________ Organization: __________________________
Address: ________________________________________________________________________________________________
City: __________________________________________ State: _______________________ Zip: ______________________
Phone: __________________________ Fax: __________________________
Email: __________________________

$_______ Publication Total
$_______ Membership Total
$_______ Subtotal
$_______ Less 20% member discount
$_______ Subtotal
$_______ Add S+H
$_______ TOTAL ENCLOSED, or
$_______ Please bill my credit card

Shipping Rates:
$4 per $20 or fraction thereof

Please make checks payable to: CFSC Coalition Credit Card Information
Visa Mastercard
Card Number: __________
Expiration Date: __________ Signatures: __________
THANK YOU TO OUR FUNDERS
In 2002, the Community Food Security Coalition received funding from the following sources. We’d like to offer our sincere thanks to them and to all our individual and organizational members.

California Department of Health Services
The California Wellness Foundation
Center for Eco-Literacy
Clarence Heller Foundation
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America
Farm Aid
Foundation for Deep Ecology
Jessie Smith Noyes Foundation
Lawson Valentine Foundation
Nathan Cummings Foundation
USDA Agriculture Marketing Service (AMS)
USDA Community Food Projects
USDA Initiative for Future
Agriculture and Food Systems

Lifetime Members
This year we offered for the first time the category of lifetime individual member. Many thanks to the following pioneers, and may you live a long life to enjoy this privilege.

Anne Carter, Peter Mann, Ed Malby, Mary Mitsui, Keith Polo, Kami Pothukuchi, Roy Riddle, Susan Smalley, Mark Winne, Holly Westcott

Rod MacRae’s Top Ten Ways to Change the Food System
1. Look fresh-unusual alliances
2. Be radical, accurate, and detailed
3. Take what the defense gives you—but probe their vulnerabilities
4. Go back and forth between projects and policies-use each to justify the other
5. Attach your work to other agendas that are hard to deny.
6. Don’t let them forget their own work—show how the bureaucracies inconsistently apply their own work or don’t have the capacity to work through the implications.
7. Expose the contradictions of the dominant agenda and show the costs.
8. Expose the fallacy of market ideology
9. Lay out the detailed transition plan for government—the bureaucracy can’t do it
10. Use international agreements to create domestic pressure.

—Rod MacRae, food policy consultant, Toronto, rmacrae@ican.net